Nomenclatural notes on fossil spiders

Jason A. Dunlop and Denise Jekel

Museum für Naturkunde der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Invalidenstrasse 43, D-10115 Berlin, Germany

Summary

The fossil spider genus Protolycosa Gourret, 1887 from Aix-en-Provence, France is a junior homonym of Protolycosa Römer, 1866 from the Coal Measures of Silesia, Poland and is replaced here with Paralycosa nom. nov. The fossil spider genus Testudinaria Zhang, Sun & Zhang, 1994 from the Miocene of Shanwang, China is a junior homonym of the extant genus Testudinaria Taczanowski, 1879 and is replaced here with Testudinaroides nom. nov. The fossil spider genus Corynitis Menge, 1854 is a junior homonym of the extant lepidopteran genus Corynitis Geyer, 1833 and is replaced here with Corynitoides nom. nov. Generic synonymies proposed by Wunderlich (1986) mean that the Baltic amber spider Eogonatium [=Acrometa] robustum Petrunkevitch, 1946 is a junior homonym of another Baltic amber species Theridiometa [=Acrometa] robusta Petrunkevitch, 1942. The younger name is replaced here with Acrometa pseudorobusta nom. nov. The fossil species Araneus indistinctus (Petrunkevitch, 1922) from Florissant, USA is a junior homonym of the Recent Javan species Araneus indistinctus (Doleschall, 1859). The younger name is replaced here with Araneus kinchloeae nom. nov., although its generic affinities merit revision. The Recent Sri Lankan spider Theridion annulipes O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1869 is a junior homonym of the fossil species Theridion annulipes Heer, 1864 from the Miocene of Germany. The extant species is renamed Theridion ceylonicus nom. nov. Some further names proposed for both fossil and Recent taxa - but which do not require immediate replacement are briefly discussed. To avoid a homonym the amber species Philodromus dubius Koch & Berendt, 1854 is formally recognised as a junior synonym of Gnaphosa affinis (Koch & Berendt, 1854).

Introduction

Dunlop *et al.* (2008b) presented a summary of the total number of published species of fossil arachnids and their relatives. The full dataset for fossil spiders — the most species-rich order in the arachnid fossil record — is now available online (Dunlop *et al.*, 2008a) as an appendix to the catalogue of Platnick (2008). During the compilation of the lists on which these studies were based, a number of nomenclatural problems were identified relating to fossil spiders. These we seek to address here, with a view toward the eventual goal of making a complete and accurate list of all fossil Arachnida available, either as an online or published resource.

Taxonomy

Paralycosa nom. nov.

Protolycosa Gourret, 1887: 444 [junior homonym of Protolycosa Römer. 1866: 136]; Petrunkevitch, 1955: 153.

Etymology: From the Greek *para* (near) and the genus name *Lycosa*.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Paralycosa attiformis (Gourret, 1887) comb. nov.

Protolycosa attiformis Gourret, 1887: 444-445, pl. 20, fig. 7; Petrunkevitch, 1955: 153.

Remarks: The fossil spider genus Protolycosa Gourret, 1887 was erected for a specimen from the Palaeogene of Aix-en-Provence in south-eastern France. It is a junior homonym of Protolycosa Römer, 1866, erected for a spider from the Carboniferous Coal Measures of Silesia in south-western Poland and later used by Laurentiaux-Viera & Laurentiaux (1963) for a further fossil spider from the Coal Measures of France. Petrunkevitch (1955: 153) listed Gourret's genus under "Araneida incertae sedis". He further recognised that the name was a homonym of Römer's, citing it as "non Römer 1866", but did not formally propose a replacement. Gourret's original drawings are not of sufficient quality to allow his species to be placed unequivocally from the description, but his material is apparently still available in the Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle de Marseille (Silvie Pichard, pers. comm.). Since Gourret's Protolycosa is potentially a valid taxon, albeit one meriting restudy, it is formally replaced here with Paralycosa gen. nov. Note that the title page of the whole volume including Gourret's paper bears the date 1888, but part three (including Gourret's paper) is listed in the contents as 1887. Petrunkevitch's (1955) citation of the publication date as 1886 is erroneous.

Testudinaroides nom. nov.

Testudinaria Zhang, Sun & Zhang, 1994: 209 (English: 287) [junior homonym of Testudinaria Taczanowski, 1879: 131].

Etymology: From its similarity to the original name *Testudinaria*.

Testudinaroides papposa (Zhang, Sun & Zhang, 1994) comb. nov.

Testudinaria papposa Zhang, Sun & Zhang, 1994: 209 (English: 287).

Remarks: The fossil spider genus Testudinaria Zhang, Sun & Zhang, 1994 was erected for an orb-weaver from the Neogene shales of Shanwang, Shandong Province, PR China. It is a junior homonym of the Recent spider genus Testudinaria Taczanowski, 1879, accommodating nine species of orb-weaver (Araneidae) from South America (Platnick, 2008). It is formally replaced here with Testudinaroides gen. nov. In accordance with the recommendations of Appendix A of the ICZN, we attempted to contact Dr Zhang to see if he wished to replace the name himself, but we were unsuccessful in our efforts to reach him. Note that the name Testudinaria has also been used for plants; specifically for wild yams (Dioscoreaceae) - commonly called elephant's foot, hottentot bread or tortoise plantalthough it has recently (Caddick et al., 2002) been synonymised with Dioscorea. Since the zoological and botanical codes are independent this does not impact on its usage for spiders; see also ICZN Article 52.7.

Corynitis Menge, 1854: 30 [junior homonym of Corynitis Geyer, 1833: no pagination (Lepidoptera)]; Petrunkevitch 1955: 152 (as incertae sedis); Marusik & Penney, 2004: 215 (as nomen dubium).

Etymology: From its similarity to the genus name *Corynitis*.

Corynitoides spinosa (Menge, 1854) comb. nov. (nomen dubium)

Corynitis spinosa Menge, 1854: 30; Keilbach, 1982: 176 (as nomen nudum); Marusik & Penney, 2004: 215 (as nomen dubium); Wunderlich, 2004a: 1261 (as nomen nudum).

Corynitoides undulata (Menge, 1854) comb. nov. (nomen dubium)

Corynitis undulata Menge, 1854: 30; Keilbach, 1982: 176 (as nomen nudum); Marusik & Penney, 2004: 215 (as nomen dubium); Wunderlich, 2004a: 1261 (as nomen nudum).

Remarks: The fossil spider genus *Corynitis* Menge, 1854 was proposed for two Baltic amber species. It was listed as incertae sedis by Petrunkevitch (1955) and the two species have been regarded either as possible theridiids, or perhaps mimetids, and explicitly treated as nomina dubia (Marusik & Penney, 2004) or even nomina nuda (Keilbach, 1982; Wunderlich, 2004a). Menge's types are probably lost and this, coupled with his extremely brief descriptions and the lack of illustrations, makes placement of these species very difficult. The generic name is preoccupied by a noctuid moth genus Corynitis Geyer, 1833. The same name was also proposed for a hydroid (Coelenterata), but here the homonym has already been recognised and dealt with; see e.g. Petersen (1990). Although there is a question about the need to replace a homonym that is also probably an invalid taxon, the ICZN rules do not permit taxa to share the same name and there is precedence for this in the recent study by Özdiknem (2007) who replaced another poorly-defined, but preoccupied, Menge fossil spider name.

Acrometa pseudorobusta nom. nov.

Eogonatium robustum Petrunkevitch, 1946: 3–4, figs. 7–10, 71 [junior homonym of *Theridiometa robusta* Petrunkevitch, 1942, as a result of the transfer of both species to *Acrometa* through Wunderlich's (1986) generic synonymies].

Etymology: From the Greek *pseudo* (false) and the Latin *robustus* (strong, robust).

Remarks: Petrunkevitch created a series of genera for Baltic amber spiders, including *Acrometa* Petrunkevitch, 1942 and *Theridiometa* Petrunkevitch, 1942 (both under Araneidae as Argiopidae: Metinae) as well as *Eogonatium* Petrunkevitch, 1942 (under Linyphiidae as Erigonidae). Among the species erected in 1942 was *Theridiometa robusta* Petrunkevitch, 1942. Four years later an *Eogonatium* species was erected: *Eogonatium robustum* Petrunkevitch, 1946. In his revision of amber spiders, Wunderlich (1986: 131) synonymised *Theridiometa, Eogonatium* and two further genera with Acrometa, but did not list the species involved and thus did not recognise the homonym created with respect to Petrunkevitch's 1946 species name. Here, we replace this preoccupied name with Acrometa pseudorobusta nom. nov. (Synotaxidae).

Araneus kinchloeae nom. nov.

Epeira indistincta Petrunkevitch, 1922: 271–273, fig. 35 [junior homonym of *Epeira indistincta* Doleschall, 1859].

Araneus indistinctus (Petrunkevitch): Bonnet, 1955: 522 [junior homonym of Araneus indistinctus (Doleschall, 1859)].

Etymology: In memory of April Kinchloe Roberts (USA) who worked on Florissant spiders.

Remarks: Doleschall (1859) created the name Epeira (later Araneus) indistincta for a Recent species of orbweaving spider from Java. This species is still considered a valid member of Araneus (cf. Platnick, 2008). The same name was introduced by Petrunkevitch (1922), again initially under *Epeira*, for a fossil spider species from the Palaeogene (Eocene) Florissant fossil beds, south of Florissant, Teller Co., Colorado, USA. Both names were listed together by Bonnet (1955: 522) in his catalogue, but he did not replace the homonym. Here we formally replace Petrunkevitch's younger name with Araneus kinchloeae nom. nov. (Araneidae), but suggest that this assignment to both the genus and family should be treated — like much of Petrunkevitch's palaeontological work - with caution and that the entire Florissant spider fauna merits revision.

Theridion ceylonicus nom. nov.

Theridium annulipes O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1869: 384 [junior homonym of Theridion annulipes Heer, 1865]; Bonnet, 1959: 4447.

Etymology: From Ceylon (Sri Lanka), the place of origin.

Remarks: The name of the Recent spider species Theridion annulipes O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1869 from Sri Lanka is preoccupied by an older fossil species Theridion annulipes Heer, 1865 from the Neogene (Miocene) of Öhningen in southern Germany. Theridium is an invalid emendation of Theridion and both names were listed (as Theridium) in Bonnet (1959), but no attempt was made there to replace the homonym. Note that Heer's original publication was titled "Die Urwelt der Schweiz", but that the locality itself does not lie within the boundaries of Switzerland. The modern species, T. annulipes O. Pickard-Cambridge, has only been mentioned in catalogues since its original description, thus there seems little reason to conserve this preoccupied name, which we replace here with T. ceylonicus nom. nov. (Theridiidae). Other nomenclatural problems involving fossil theridiids were addressed by Marusik & Penney (2004), but since they concentrated on species in amber the problem with the Ohningen name was not considered.

Discussion

The following names can also be found in the literature for both fossil and Recent spiders, or in one

case for a spider and crustacean. Subsequent transfers or synonymies mean that they do not currently require replacement, or in one case need to be referred to the ICZN, and they are noted here for completeness.

Araneus emertoni

The fossil species *Epeira emertoni* Scudder, 1890 from Florissant, USA (see above) is a senior primary homonym of the Recent species *Epeira emertoni* Banks, 1904 from North and Central America. They were both listed under *Araneus* in Bonnet (1955), but the homonym issue was not addressed. The assignment of Florissant taxa to common Recent genera is in any case questionable, and Banks' species was subsequently transferred to *Eustala* Simon, 1895 by Archer (1940); see Platnick (2008). Thus ICZN Article 23.9.5 can be applied, whereby Banks' name is a junior primary homonym of the fossil species, but of a taxon not considered congeneric after 1899. In this situation the younger name should not automatically be replaced, but should be referred to the ICZN for a ruling under its plenary powers.

Tegenaria obscura

The fossil species *Tegenaria obscura* Koch & Berendt, 1854 from Baltic amber is a senior homonym of the Recent species *Tegenaria obscura* Banks, 1898 originally described from Mexico. Both names were listed (under *Tegenaria*) by Bonnet (1959), but the homonym issue was not addressed. The fossil species was listed by Wunderlich (2004b: 1422) as "*Tegenaria*" obscura, as a probable member of the Hahniidae. Banks's Recent species is currently considered a junior synonym of the widespread agelenid species *Malthonica pagana* (Koch, 1840) (see Platnick, 2008), thus a replacement name is not necessary.

Clubiona serica

A fossil species, Clubiona serica Koch & Berendt, 1854, was described from Baltic amber. Octavius Pickard-Cambridge described a Recent Central American species as Elaver serica O. Pickard-Cambridge, 1898. This was transferred to Clubiona by F. O. Pickard-Cambridge (1900), which would make it a junior homonym of the fossil taxon. Both names were listed (under *Clubiona*) by Bonnet (1956), but the homonym issue was not addressed. The fossil species is still retained in Clubiona, but was regarded as questionable by Wunderlich (2004c) who noted that the descriptions of this and other amber Clubiona species were based on females and that they might belong in other families. The Recent species has subsequently been returned to its original genus Elaver (see Platnick, 2008), thus a replacement name is no longer needed.

Philodromus dubius

The fossil species *Philodromus dubius* Koch & Berendt, 1854 from Baltic amber is a senior homonym

of Philodromus dubius Caporiacco, 1933 from Libya. Caporiacco's species is still valid (Platnick, 2008), thus there could be a case for replacing the younger name. However, Menge (1854) briefly suggested in footnotes to Koch & Berendt's monograph that their species P. dubius was a synonym of another amber spider, Pythonissa (now Gnaphosa) affinis Koch & Berendt, 1854. Wunderlich (2004d: 1691) also listed P. dubius under "questionable taxa". This illustrates an unfortunate problem among amber spiders in that synonymies and transfers have sometimes been proposed in a rather tentative and half-hearted fashion, which can leave the current status and affinities of some species names open to question. In order to preserve Caporiacco's name we formally accept Menge's taxonomic act and treat the fossil P. dubius as a junior synonym of Gnaphosa affinis (Koch & Berendt), thus avoiding the need for a replacement name for the Recent species.

Schellenbergia

The fossil spider genus name Schellenbergia Heer, 1865 was proposed for an Öhningen fossil and listed as incertae sedis by Petrunkevitch (1955). It is a senior homonym of an anystid mite (Acari) genus — Schellenbergia Oudemans, 1936 — which was recognised as a junior homonym and replaced by Oudemans (1937). Schellenbergia Heer is also a senior homonym of a recently proposed amphipod (Crustacea) genus Schellenbergia Berge & Vader, 2001, although in this case the crustacean genus has subsequently been recognised as a synonym (Berge & Vader, 2004) of another amphipod and the name does not require replacement. The name has also been used for a plant.

Acknowledgements

We thank Paul Selden (Kansas) for making us aware of the *Testudinaria* problem, Silvie Pichard (Marseille) for information about Gourret's material, Wolfram Mey (Berlin) for help with Lepidoptera, Jørgen Berge (Longyearbyen) for copies of his crustacean papers and Mark Harvey (Perth) for advice on the rules of nomenclature. Norman Platnick, Peter Merrett and an anonymous reviewer provided valuable comments on an earlier draft.

References

ARCHER, A. F. 1940: The Argiopidae or orb-weaving spiders of Alabama. *Mus. Pap. geol. Surv. Ala.* 14: 1–77.

- BANKS, N. 1898: Arachnida from Baja California, and other parts of Mexico. Proc. Calif. Acad. Sci. (3 ser.) 1: 205–308.
- BANKS, N. 1904: New genera and species of Nearctic spiders. *Jl N.Y. ent. Soc.* **12**: 109–119.
- BERGE, J. & VADER, W. 2001: Revision and a phylogenetic analysis of the amphipod (Crustacea) family Stegocephalidae. *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 133: 531–592.
- BERGE, J. & VADER, W. 2004: Two new Antarctic stegocephalid (Amphipoda: Stegocephalidae: Stegocephalinae) species, with implications for the phylogeny and classification of the two genera *Pseudo* and *Schellenbergia*. *Deep-Sea Res*. (II) 51(14–16): 1709–1716.

- BONNET, P. 1956: *Bibliographia Araneorum* **2**(2): 919–1925. Douladoure, Toulouse.
- BONNET, P. 1959: *Bibliographia Araneorum* **2**(5): 4231–5058. Douladoure, Toulouse.
- CADDICK, L. R., WILKIN, P., RUDALL, P. J., HEDDERSON, T. A. J. & CASE, M. W. 2002: Yams reclassified: a recircumscription of Dioscoreaceae and Dioscoreales. *Taxon* 51: 103–114.
- CAPORIACCO, L. DI 1933: Araneidi. In Spedizione scientifica all'oasi di Cufra (Marzo-Luglio 1931). Annali Mus. civ. Stor. nat. Giacomo Doria 56: 311–340.
- DOLESCHALL, L. 1859: Tweede Bijdrage tot de Kenntis der Arachniden van den Indischen Archipel. Verh. natuurk. Ver. Ned. Ind. 5: 1–60.
- DUNLOP, J. A., PENNEY, D. & JEKEL, D. 2008a: A summary list of fossil spiders. pp. 1–75. In N. I. Platnick, The world spider catalog, version 9.0. http://research.amnh.org/entomology/spiders/catalog/index.html>
- DUNLOP, J. A., PENNEY, D., TETLIE, O. E. & ANDERSON, L. 2008b: How many species of fossil arachnids are there? J. *Arachnol.* **36**: 267–272.
- GEYER, C. 1827–1838: *Sammlung Exotischer Schmetterlinge*. Vol. 3. Augsburg. [no publisher given]. 53 plates, no text.
- GOURRET, P. 1887: Recherches sur les Arachnides tertiaires d'Aix en Provence. *Recl. zool. suisse* **4**: 431–496.
- HEER, O. 1865: Die Urwelt der Schweiz. Friedrich Schulthess, Zürich, xxix+622 pp.
- INTERNATIONAL COMMISSION ON ZOOLOGICAL NOMEN-CLATURE 1999: International code of Zoological Nomenclature (4th edn). International Trust for Zoological Nomenclature, London, xxix+306 pp.
- KEILBACH, R. 1982: Bibliographie und Liste der Arten tierische Einschlüsse in fossilen Harzen sowie ihrer Aufbewahrungsorte. Teil 1. Dt. ent. Z. (N.F.) 29: 129–286.
- KOCH, C. L. & BERENDT, G. C. 1854: Die im Bernstein befindlichen Myriapoden, Arachniden und Apteren der Vorwelt. In G.
 C. Berendt, Die in Bernstein befindlichen Organischen Reste der Vorwelt Gesammelt in Verbindung mit mehreren Bearbeitetet und Herausgegeben 1(2): 1–124. Nicolai, Berlin.
- LAURENTIAUX-VIERA, F. & LAURENTIAUX, D. 1963: Sur quelques restes nouveaux d'Arachnides du terrain houiller. *Annls Soc. géol. N.* 83: 23–29.
- MARUSIK, Y. M. & PENNEY, D. 2004: A survey of Baltic amber Theridiidae (Araneae) inclusions, with descriptions of six new species. In D. V. Logunov & D. Penney (eds), European Arachnology 2003: 201–208. KMK Scientific Press, Moscow.
- MENGE, A. 1854: [Footnotes] In C. L. Koch & G. C. Berendt, Die im Bernstein befindlichen Myriapoden, Arachniden und Apteren der Vorwelt. In G. C. Berendt, Die in Bernstein befindlichen Organischen Reste der Vorwelt Gesammelt in Verbindung mit mehreren Bearbeitetet und Herausgegeben 1(2): 1–124. Nicolai, Berlin.
- OUDEMANS, A. C. 1936: Neues über Anystidae (Acari). Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) **5**: 364–446.
- OUDEMANS, A. C. 1937: Namensänderung. Arch. Naturgesch. (N.F.) **6**: 662.

- ÖZDIKMEN, H. 2007: Nomenclatural changes for seven preoccupied spider genera (Arachnida: Araneae). *Mun. Ent. Zool.* **2**: 137– 142.
- PETERSEN, K. W. 1990: Evolution and taxonomy in capitate hydroids and medusae (Cnidaria: Hydrozoa). *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* **100**: 101–231.
- PETRUNKEVITCH, A. I. 1922: Tertiary spiders and opilionids of North America. *Trans. Conn. Acad. Arts Sci.* **25**: 211–279.
- PETRUNKEVITCH, A. I. 1942: A study of amber spiders. *Trans. Conn. Acad. Arts Sci.* **34**: 119–464.
- PETRUNKEVITCH, A. I. 1946: Fossil spiders in the collection of the American Museum of Natural History. Am. Mus. Novit. 1328: 1–36.
- PETRUNKEVITCH, A. I. 1955: Arachnida. In R. C. Moore (ed.), Treatise on invertebrate paleontology, Part P, Arthropoda 2: 42–162. Geological Society of America, Boulder, and University of Kansas Press, Lawrence.
- PICKARD-CAMBRIDGE, F. O. 1900: Arachnida. Araneida. Biologia cent.-am (Zool.) 2: 89 –192.
- PICKARD-CAMBRIDGE, O. 1869: Part I of catalogue of a collection of Ceylon Araneida lately received from Mr. J. Nietner, with descriptions of new species and characters of a new genus. J. Linn. Soc. Lond. 10: 373–397.
- PICKARD-CAMBRIDGE, O. 1898: Arachnida. Araneida. Biologia cent.-am. (Zool.) 1: 233–288.
- PLATNICK, N. I. 2008: The world spider catalog, version 9.0. http://research.amnh.org/entomology/spiders/catalog/index.html
- RÖMER, F. 1866: Protolycosa anthracophila, eine fossile Spinne aus dem Steinkohlengebirge Oberschlesiens. Neues Jb. Miner., Geol. Paläont. 1866: 136–143.
- SCUDDER, S. H. 1890: The Tertiary insects of North America. *Rep.* U.S. geol. Surv. **13**: 1–734.
- TACZANOWSKI, L. 1879: Les Aranéides du Pérou central (suite). Horae Soc. ent. Ross. (= Trudy russk. ent. Obshch.) 15: 102– 136.
- WUNDERLICH, J. 1986: Spinnenfauna gestern und heute. Fossile Spinnen in Bernstein und ihre heute lebenden Verwandten. Erich Bauer Verlag bei Quelle & Meyer, Wiesbaden, 283 pp.
- WUNDERLICH, J. 2004a: Fossil pirate spiders (Araneae: Araneoidea; Mimetidae s. l.) in Baltic and Dominican amber, with notes on intrafamiliar higher taxa. *Beitr. Araneol.* **3**: 1249– 1278.
- WUNDERLICH, J. 2004b: Fossil spiders (Araneae) of the family Dictynidae s. l., including Cryphoecinae and Hahniinae in Baltic and Dominican amber and copal from Madagascar, and on selected extant Holarctic taxa, with new descriptions and diagnoses. *Beitr. Araneol.* **3**: 1390–1482.
- WUNDERLICH, J. 2004c: Fossil spiders (Araneae) of the families Clubionidae and Miturgidae (questionable) in Baltic and Dominican amber. *Beitr. Araneol.* **3**: 1612–1622.
- WUNDERLICH, J. 2004d: Members of the family Philodromidae (Araneae) in Baltic amber? *Beitr. Araneol.* **3**: 1689–1693.
- ZHANG, J., SUN, B. & ZHANG, X. 1994: Miocene insects and spiders from Shanwang, Shandong. Science Press, Beijing, 298 pp. [In Chinese with English Summary].